

To: Flattery, Priscilla[Flattery.Priscilla@epa.gov]
From: Davis, Alison
Sent: Wed 1/15/2014 9:20:04 PM
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

Fabulous.

From: Flattery, Priscilla
Sent: Wednesday, January 15, 2014 4:20 PM
To: Davis, Alison
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

Two minutes

Priscilla Flattery

Chief of Staff, OPPT

202-564-2718

From: Davis, Alison
Sent: Wednesday, January 15, 2014 4:17 PM
To: Flattery, Priscilla
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS
Importance: High

Where are we on this? Alisha asking

From: Flattery, Priscilla
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 4:43 PM
To: Davis, Alison
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

Thx. It requires us to look at some data bases, etc., so take a bit of time.

Priscilla Flattery

Chief of Staff, OPPT

202-564-2718

From: Davis, Alison
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 4:42 PM
To: Flattery, Priscilla
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

Alisha called her – yes.

Thank you!

From: Flattery, Priscilla
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 4:01 PM
To: Davis, Alison
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

Is tomorrow a.m. ok for this

Priscilla Flattery

Chief of Staff, OPPT

202-564-2718

From: Davis, Alison
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 3:10 PM
To: Flattery, Priscilla; Deitz, Randy; Thomas, Latosha; Gillis, Chris; Strauss, Linda; Senn, John; Loop, Travis
Cc: Cohen, Nancy; Hull, George
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

They have standards for coal preparation plants. They are PM standards.

From: Flattery, Priscilla
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 3:09 PM
To: Davis, Alison; Deitz, Randy; Thomas, Latosha; Gillis, Chris; Strauss, Linda; Senn, John; Loop, Travis
Cc: Cohen, Nancy; Hull, George
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

OAR should probably also engage on this. I believe they have the lead for emission standards from Coal Processing Facilities. I'm pulling together TSCA points.

Priscilla Flattery

Chief of Staff, OPPT

202-564-2718

From: Davis, Alison
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 2:59 PM
To: Flattery, Priscilla; Deitz, Randy; Thomas, Latosha; Gillis, Chris; Strauss, Linda; Senn, John; Loop, Travis
Cc: Cohen, Nancy; Hull, George
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

Thanks!

From: Flattery, Priscilla
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 2:56 PM
To: Davis, Alison; Deitz, Randy; Thomas, Latosha; Gillis, Chris; Strauss, Linda; Senn, John; Loop, Travis
Cc: Cohen, Nancy; Hull, George
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

This is helpful. Let me see what we have.

Priscilla Flattery

Chief of Staff, OPPT

202-564-2718

From: Davis, Alison

Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 2:55 PM

To: Flattery, Priscilla; Deitz, Randy; Thomas, Latosha; Gillis, Chris; Strauss, Linda; Senn, John; Loop, Travis

Cc: Cohen, Nancy; Hull, George

Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

We don't have much – this is a narrowed-down inquiry. New Yorker science blogger writing about regulations for coal processing. She originally wanted to write about how requirements have changed over time. This is her original list of questions as an FYI– we told her it was too much to answer today. We have answered previous questions about the chemical that spilled – she is asking about others used in coal processing.

The chemical that was in the spill in W Va was a replacement for diesel in the frothing part for coal processing. Was that a change instigated by the industry, or was it an EPA regulated thing?

Are there other similar changes in the way coal is processed in the 20 years that has made coal processing more environ friendly/less toxic?

I'm interested in regulations that affected coal processing chemicals since the 90s (or 80s, if there's relevant information), especially ones that may have brought MCHM into wider use. I'm also wondering if the Reducing Excessive Deadline Obligations Act would have any affect on MCHM or other coal-processing chemicals.

I'm curious what toxicology is mandated on new industrial chemicals, as opposed to MCHM. I'm also interested in chemicals that have been 'grandfathered' in - what percentage of coal processing chemicals have as little data available as the one that leaked?

If there's an expert I could talk to today or tomorrow to give me some background on industrial chemical regulations in general, that would be wonderful, as well.

\

From: Flattery, Priscilla
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 1:37 PM
To: Davis, Alison; Deitz, Randy; Thomas, Latosha; Gillis, Chris; Strauss, Linda; Senn, John; Loop, Travis
Cc: Cohen, Nancy; Hull, George
Subject: RE: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

Is there any additional context on these q's

Priscilla Flattery

Chief of Staff, OPPT

202-564-2718

From: Davis, Alison
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 1:29 PM
To: Deitz, Randy; Thomas, Latosha; Flattery, Priscilla; Gillis, Chris; Strauss, Linda; Senn, John; Loop, Travis
Cc: Cohen, Nancy; Hull, George
Subject: ACTION - FOR TODAY -NEW YORKER ON COAL REGS

All – Please let me know if your offices have anything on these questions, then – if you do – please draft answers for the following.. Deadline COB Today. Thanks.

- What are the regulations affecting coal processing chemicals?
- What toxicology data is required for new chemicals?